## A series mentioned in lecture (update)

## Kyle Miller

## Saturday, 19 September 2015

The following series was mentioned in lecture:

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - 2^{(-1)^n}}{n}
$$

In a previous note, we found this diverges by an argument which appeals to partial sums. While it is a good kind af argument to understand, there is a simpler way to come to the same conclusion.

The general technique is that if we add or subtract a convergent series from another series, and the result is divergent, then it must be that the second series is divergent as well. We know that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$  $(-1)^n$  $\frac{1}{n}$  is a convergent series. Observe that  $1 - 2^{(-1)^n}$  is the sequence  $-\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{2}$  $\frac{2}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{2}$  $\frac{2}{2}, \ldots$ . This means if we subtract the sequence  $\frac{(-1)^n}{2}$  from this, every other term is zero:  $0, \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}, \ldots$  So, one can see that

$$
\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - 2^{(-1)^n}}{n}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n}\right) = 0 - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2} + 0 - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4} + 0 - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 6} + \cdots
$$

$$
= -\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4} - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 6} - \cdots
$$

$$
= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}
$$

which of course diverges.

A stickler for details would verify that dropping zeros out of a series does not change the convergence or divergence. This fact is relatively easy to verify, the hardest part being how to explain it succinctly, so if you are not such a stickler, it is a safe fact to make use of.

In essence, given a converging series  $\sum a_n$ , make a new series  $\sum b_n$  where  $b_n$  skips some set of  $a_n$  which are zero. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some point N where the partial sums  $\sum a_n$  eventually get within  $\varepsilon$  of the sum of the series, and this N will work for  $\sum b_n$  as well because each term of  ${b_n}$  comes no later than its corresponding term in  ${a_n}$ . This shows it even has the same value (as one would expect). To finish this up, you would have to say a similar thing for convergence of  $\sum b_n$  meaning convergence of  $\sum a_n$ .

Alternatively, the proof for  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ 1  $\frac{1}{n}$  could be adapted to this specific instance, but that is more work than necessary (unless you simply use the incantation "and this series diverges by a similar argument as that for the harmonic series"; as long as you say something like that in the right place, it demonstrates you know what you're talking about).